SRNL™
SAVANNAH RIVER NATIONAL LABORATORY
Operated by Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC

We Put Science To Work

Nuclear Radiation

Robert L. Sindelar

December 16, 2008







Introduction to Nuclear Fuel Cycle Separations

Vanderbilt University

EM Office of Environmental Management
safety ▪ performance ▪ cleanup ▪ closure

Outline

Effects of Radiation Must be Considered in Facility Design (Shielding and Materials of Construction) and Chemical Processes (Radiolysis)

- **Shielding**
  - Sources: Radioisotopes in Spent Fuel
  - Concepts
  - Evaluation Methodologies
- **Radiolysis**
  - Concepts
  - Radiolysis Effects in Separations Process Solutions/Materials
- **Radiation Effects on Materials**
  - Concepts
  - Radiation Effects on Seal and Gasket Materials
  - Radiation Effects on Structural Materials

SRS Canyon Photograph Pre-Operation (circa 1955)

Overview of Radiation Effects on Materials and Systems Relevant to Nuclear Fuel Cycle Separations is Presented

Shielding – Source of Radioisotopes

Example of Research Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel –

- **Radioisotopes include**
  - Alpha Emitters*
  - Beta Emitters*
  - Gamma Emitters
  - Spontaneous Neutron Emitters
  - Secondary Reactions (e.g. (α, n))
- **Fuel Isotope Content Dependent on Irradiation & Decay Times**



*There are few pure Alpha or Beta emitters, Gamma emission is concomitant

Shielding – Source of Radioisotopes, CONT'D

Example of Research Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel –

- **Materials Test Reactor Design Assembly**
- **HFR Petten Assembly #F1369**
  - 93% Enriched
  - 484 gm total U initial
- **158 Day Irradiation in 50 MW Reactor with 211 MWD/assembly, 58% Burn-up**





Shielding – Source of Radioisotopes, CONT'D

Spent Nuclear Fuel, High Activity Radioisotopes –

- **HFR Petten Assembly #F1369**
- **209 Days Cool**
- **Radioisotope Content**
  - Actinides with > 10^{-4} Ci
  - Fission Products with > 10^{2} Ci
- **Note: Lists <u>Do Not</u> Include the Long-Lived Isotopes Important for Sequestration in a Waste Form (e.g. Tc-99)**

Actinides	Ci
th231	3.95E-04
pa233	6.34E-04
u235	3.95E-04
u236	2.69E-03
u237	1.34E-04
np237	6.34E-04
np239	1.06E-04
pu236	1.36E-04
pu238	1.57E+00
pu239	3.25E-02
pu240	3.49E-02
pu241	5.54E+00
am241	7.32E-03
am243	1.06E-04
cm242	1.42E-01
cm244	2.80E-03
total	**7.34E+00**

Fission Products	Ci
sr89	1.21E+03
sr90	6.84E+02
y90	6.84E+02
y91	2.25E+03
zr95	3.09E+03
nb95	6.28E+03
ru103	3.33E+02
rh103m	3.33E+02
ru106	6.81E+02
rh106	6.81E+02
cs134	3.35E+02
cs137	6.91E+02
ba137m	6.53E+02
ce141	2.86E+02
ce144	9.59E+03
pr144	9.59E+03
pr144m	1.34E+02
pm147	1.83E+03
total	**3.96E+04**

Shielding – Concepts

Non-Ionizing

(No electron Removal)

Electromagnetic
Microwaves
Infrared
Radar
TV
Radio

Ionizing

(Electron Removal)

Electromagnetic	Particulate
Gamma	Alpha
X-ray	Beta
	Neutron

Shielding – Concepts, CONT'D



Type of Ionizing Radiation	Characteristics	Range in Air	Shield	Hazards	Source
Alpha	Large mass, +2 charge	Very short, 1- 2 inches	Paper, skin	Internal	Pu, U
Beta	Small mass, -1 charge	Short, 10 feet	Plastic, glass, metal	Internal, external skin & eyes	Fission & activation products
Gamma/x-ray	No mass or charge, photon	Several 100 feet	Lead, steel, concrete	Whole Body internal or external	Fission & activation products
Neutron	Mass, no charge	Several 100 feet	Water, concrete, plastic	Whole Body internal or external	Cf, neutron sources

Shielding – Concepts, CONT'D

Gamma Ray Interaction with Matter

Photoelectric Effect



$$hf = \phi + E_{k_{\max}}$$

Compton Effect



$$\lambda' - \lambda = \frac{h}{m_e c}(1 - \cos\theta)$$

Pair Production



$$hf \geq 2m_e c^2 = 1.02\,MeV$$

Shielding – Concepts, CONT'D



Fig. 3.18 The mass attenuation coefficients of lead as a function of γ-ray energy.

Ref: J.R. Lamarsh, Introduction to Nuclear Engineering, Addison-Wesley, 1975

Shielding – Evaluation Methodologies

Gamma Radiation – Exposure Rate for Flux at Initial Energy E_0

- Exposure Rate With No Shield:

$$\dot{X}_0 = 0.0659 E_0 (\mu_a / \rho)_{\text{air}} \phi_0 \qquad \text{(mR/hr)}$$

- Exposure Rate With Shield:

$$\dot{X} = 0.0659 E_0 (\mu_a / \rho)_{\text{air}} \phi_b \qquad \text{(mR/hr)}$$

Shielding – Evaluation Methodologies, CONT'D

Gamma Radiation – Buildup Flux

- Scattered Radiation is Built-Up at Lower Energies from Compton-Scattered Radiation (primarily); and Pair-Production and X-rays from Photoelectric Absorption (due to e's slowing down)
- Buildup Flux:
  - *For Point Source at Distance R :*

$$\phi_b = \phi_0 \times Buildup = \frac{S e^{-\mu R} B_p(\mu R)}{4\pi R^2}$$

- Buildup Factor:
  - *Point Source Factor (Taylor Form):*

$$B_p(\mu r) = A e^{-\alpha_1 \mu r} + (1 - A) e^{-\alpha_2 \mu r}$$



Fig. 10.2 Energy spectrum of incident γ-ray beam.



Fig. 10.3 Energy spectrum of γ-rays emerging from shield.

Shielding – Evaluation Methodologies, CONT'D

Use Point Kernal Methods with Buildup Factors to Account for Scattered or Secondary Photon Flux in lieu of Transport Calculations

Uncollided Radiation Doses

- Determine Dose at Point of Interest Without Accounting for Interaction with Medium (e.g., air medium)

Point Kernel for Uncollided Doses

- Determination of Dose at Point Detector per Particle of Given Energy Emitted from Isotropic Point Source
- Allows Determination of Uncollided Dose Due to Distributed Source by Decomposing Source into Set of Contiguous Effective Point Sources and Summing Contribution

Buildup Factor Concept

- Based on Attenuation and Scattering Characteristics of Medium (infinite)
- Ratio of Total Dose, Scattered Dose plus Uncollided Dose, to that of Uncollided Dose Only

Buildup Factor Geometry

- Use of Adjustment Factors for the Buildup Factor at Boundary of Finite Medium Based upon Depth of Penetration in Infinite Medium

Point Kernel Computer Codes

- MicroShield, QAD, QAD-CG, QADMOD, and G^3
- Deconvolve Problem to Small Finite Elements. Determine Uncollided Dose Kernel and Buildup Factors. Sum to Obtain Total Dose

Shielding – Evaluation Methodologies, CONT'D

Considerations in Neutron Shielding

- Similar Concepts as for Gamma Shielding
- Significant contribution to dose from secondary photons from inelastic neutron scattering and from radiative capture
- Isotopic (Rather than Elemental) Composition of Medium
- Challenges with Shine or Indirect Streaming

Shielding – Evaluation Methodologies, CONT'D

Deterministic Transport Theory

- **Linear Boltzmann Equation is Solved Numerically**
- **Discrete-ordinate Methods**
  - Multigroup Form of Transport Equation Integrated over Each Spatial and Directional Cell of Mesh of Geometry
  - Problems with Irregular Shapes and Boundaries where Simplified Techniques such as Point Kernels with Buildup Cannot be Used
  - Can Treat Very Deep Penetration Problems
- **ONEDANT, TWODANT, TORT, DANTSYS, PARTISN, XSDRNPM**

Monte Carlo Methods

- **Simulation Made of Stochastic Particle Migration through the Geometry**
  - Probability Relationships of Radiation Interacts with the Medium
  - No Use of Transport Equations
  - Complex Geometry Simulations
  - Computationally Very Expensive, Especially for Deep Penetration
- **MCNP, MCNPX, KENO V.a, KENO-VI, EGS4, TIGER**

Shielding – Radioactivity Units

Units to Characterize Amount of Radioactivity

- **Curies (Ci)**
  - 1 Ci = 3.7 x 10^{10} decays/sec
  - Total or Radionuclide-Specific
- **Becquerel (Bq)**
  - 1 Bq = 1 decay/sec
  - Total or Radionuclide-Specific
- **Decays per Minute per milliliter (dpm/ml)**
  - Used to Characterize Activity of Solutions
  - Total or Radionuclide-Specific

Shielding – Exposure/Dose Units

Radiation Unit	Measures	Effect On	Type of Radiation	Relates to	Conversion
Roentgen (R)	Exposure	Air	Gamma and x-ray		1 R = 1000 milliroentgen (mR)
rad (Radiation Absorbed Dose); Gray (Gy)	Dose	Any Material	All Types		1 Gy = 100 rad =1 J/kg 10 μGy = 1 mrad 1 Wh/l ≅ 360,000 rad
rem (Roentgen Equivalent Man); Sievert (Sv)	Dose Equivalence (Dose Equivalence = Dose x Quality Factor)	Man	All Types	Accounts for Difference in Dose and Damage	1 Sv = 100 rem 10 μGy = 1 mrad

Shielding – Dose Limits

	DOE Limit (rem/yr)	DOE Admin Control (rem/yr)	SRS Admin Control, Rad Workers (rem/yr)
Whole Body	**5**	**2**	**1.0**
Extremity	**50**	**n/a**	**n/a**
Skin/Other Organs	**50**	**n/a**	**n/a**
Lens of Eye	**15**	**n/a**	**n/a**
Visitors/Public	**0.200**	**n/a**	**n/a**
Pregnant Worker	**0.5 during gestation**		

Shielding – Dose Limits, CONT'D

Derived Air Concentration (DAC) and Annual Limit on Intake (ALI)

- DAC are Derived Limits on Radioactivity Concentration in Air (μCi/ml) Intended to Control Chronic Occupation Exposure
- Radionuclide-Specific
- DAC = ALI(in μCi)/(2000 hours per working year x 60 minutes/hour x $2x10^4$ ml per minute) = ALI/($2.4x10^9$) μCi/ml
- $C_A/DAC_A + C_B/DAC_B + C_C/DAC_c + \ldots. < 1$

Radiolysis – Concepts

- **G-values**
  - G = # Molecules Produced per 100 eV absorbed energy
  - Dependent on Incident Radiation Type
- **Forward (Radiolytic) vs. Back Reactions**
  - Forward: $H_2O \xrightarrow{incident\ radiation} H_2O^+_{aq},\ OH,\ e^-_{aq},\ H,\ H_2O_2,\ H_2$
  - Back:

$$H + H_2O_2 \rightarrow OH + H_2O$$

$$OH + H_2 \rightarrow H + H_2O$$

$$H_2 + H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O$$

- **Reactions of Unstable Intermediates Controlled by Thermodynamics**



Schematic depicting the formation of H and OH radicals in the track of a 1-MeV electron (a) and alpha particle (b).

Radiolysis – Concepts

Example: Net H_2 Production in a System Due to Radiolysis

$$dH_2/dt = gross\ production - removal$$

$$\begin{aligned}(dH_2/dt)_{Prod} &= \left(G_{H_2,n}E_{a,n} + G_{H_2,\gamma}E_{a,\gamma} + G_{H_2,\alpha}E_{a,\alpha} + G_{H_2,\alpha}E_{n,\alpha} + G_{H_2,\gamma}E_{n,\gamma}\right) \\ &= G_{H_2,n}E_{a,n} + G_{H_2,\gamma}\left(E_{a,\gamma} + E_{n,\gamma}\right) + G_{H_2,\alpha}\left(E_{a,\alpha} + E_{n,\alpha}\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$(dH_2/dt)_{Rem} = G_{H_2,r}\left(E_{a,\gamma} + E_{n,\gamma}\right)$$

where:
- $E_{a,n}$ = energy absorption density (eV/cm^3-min) due to fast neutrons,
- $E_{a,\gamma}$ = energy absorption density (eV/cm^3-min) due to gammas,
- $E_{a,\alpha}$ = energy absorption density (eV/cm^3-min) due to alpha particles (assume all alpha energy deposited in water),
- $E_{n,\alpha}$ = energy absorption density (eV/cm^3-min) due to alpha particles produced by the $^{10}B(n,\alpha)^7Li$ reaction,
- $E_{n,\gamma}$ = energy absorption density (eV/cm^3-min) due to gammas produced by the $H(n,\gamma)D$ reaction, and
- G_{H_2} = G-values for molecular hydrogen production by gamma, neutron, or alpha particle radiation (molecules H_2/100 eV).

Radiolysis – Effects in Separations Process Solutions

- **Tri-*n*-butyl Phosphate (TBP)**
  - TBP Used in PUREX and HM Processes
  - Chemical (Hydrolytic) and Radiolytic Reactions Decompose TBP
  - Breakdown Sequence: TBP → Dibutyl Phosphoric Acid (HDBP) → Monobutyl Phosphoric Acid (H_2MBP) → Phosphoric Acid (H_3PO_4)
  - Many Hydrocarbons Formed Through Radiolysis of TBP
- **Ferrous Sulfamate**
  - Fe(II) Used to Reduce Np(V) to Np(IV) and Pu(IV) to Pu(III) for Subsequent Separation; Protects Reduced Pu and Np from OH^- Radical
  - Sulfamate Added to Prevent NO_3^- Oxidation of Fe(II)
  - Radiolytic Reactions Decompose Fe^{2+} and Sulfamate
- **Radiation Effects on Ion Exchange Materials**
  - Various Resin Systems are Used
  - Radiation Causes Loss of Exchange Capacity
  - Radiation Causes Gas Evolution

Radiolysis – Effects in Separations Process Solutions, CONT'D

Radiolysis of TBP

- Radiolysis of TBP Alone or in Diluents, Anhydrous or Water-Saturated Cause Ionized or Excited TBP
- Radiolysis Product in Greatest Yield is HDBP
- Greater Yield in Anhydrous TBP than Water-Saturated
  - Anhydrous: G = 3 total acid molecules/100 eV
  - Water-Saturated: G = 1.8 total acid molecules/100 eV



FIGURE 6. Correlation of published data for yields of total acid and HDBP from irradiation of TBP-aliphatic diluent solutions. Notes: (1) ^{60}Co used as radiation source except for △ and ▽ (spent fuel). (2) Vertical bar lengths for diluent-free TBP correspond, approximately, to standard deviations. (Data taken from References 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, and 14 to 21.)

Ref: Chapter 7, "RADIOLYTIC BEHAVIOR," in Science and Technology of Tributyl Phosphate, Volume I, Wallace W. Schulz and James D. Navratil, eds., CRC Press, Inc., 1984

Radiolysis – Effects in Separations Process Solutions, CONT'D

Total Degradation of TBP

- TBP Degradation is Due to Hydrolysis and Radiolysis
- Strong Effect of Temperature on TBP Degradation Rate



Fig. 6. TBP degradation rates due to acid hydrolysis, alpha radiolysis, and metal-ion-induced hydrolysis at 80°C (shown as mg of plutonium complexed by degradation products for each factor).

Ref: M.H. Lloyd and R.L. Fellows, "Alpha Radiolysis and Other Factors Affecting Hydrolysis of Tributyl Phosphate," ORNL/TM-9565, June 1985

Radiolysis – Effects in Separations Process Solutions, CONT'D

Radiolysis of Ferrous Sulfamate
$Fe(SA)_2$ or Fe(II) + $(NH_2SO_3^-)_2$

- If Fe^{2+} not Present, Quick Reversion of Np(IV) to Np(V) and Pu(III) to Pu(IV)
- High Dose Rate Process Solution Can Cause Rapid Depletion of Fe^{2+}



Fig. 2. Depletion of Fe(II) from radiolysis by dissolved fission products of ^{235}U in actual process solution. Dose rate = 1.5×10^5 rad/h, T = ~25°C.



Fig. 3. Dependence of the redox potential and fraction of ^{237}Np or ^{238}Pu in the 4+ state on radiolysis by dissolved fission products of ^{235}U in an actual process solution. Dose rate = 1.5×10^5 rad/h, T = ~25°C, ● = redox potential, ■ = percent Pu(IV), and ▲ = percent Np(IV).

Ref: N.E. Bibler, "Radiolytic Instability of Ferrous Sulfamate in Nuclear Process Solutions," Nuclear Technology, Volume 34, August 1977

Radiolysis – Effects in Separations Process Solutions, CONT'D

Radiolysis of Ferrous Sulfamate

- Co-60 Gamma Irradiator Used to Investigate Radiolysis Effects in Process Solutions
- Both Fe^{2+} and Sulfamate are Depleted



Fig. 1. Depletion of Fe(II) and sulfamate from ^{60}Co gamma radiolysis of simulated process solutions. Dose rate = 6.09×10^5 rad/h, T = 30 to 37°C, ● = Fe(II), and ■ = sulfamate.

Ref: N.E. Bibler, "Radiolytic Instability of Ferrous Sulfamate in Nuclear Process Solutions," Nuclear Technology, Volume 34, August 1977

Radiolysis – Effects in Separations Process Solutions, CONT'D

Radiolysis of Ion Exchange Media

- Doses of 10^5 to 10^6 Gy Significant to Synthetic Organic Ion Exchangers
- Polycondensation Type Resistant to Radiation Damage, but Overall Initial Properties Poor
- Gas Evolution During Radiolysis



Fig. 1. A comparison of the change in total exchange capacity of 4% cross-linked styrene-DVB sulfonic acid resins (from References 31, 35, and 38)

Ref: K.K.S. Pillay, "A Review of the Radiation Stability of Ion Exchange Materials," Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemisry, Articles, Vol. 102, No. 1 (1986) 247-268.

Radiation Effects on Materials – Concepts for Polymers

Effects on Polymers

- Irradiation Effects
  - Loss of Elasticity and Sealing Ability; Gas Evolution; Leaching
- Important Factors
  - Total Dose (rad); Dose Rate
  - Presence of O_2
- Degradation Mechanisms – One Mechanism Frequently Predominates
  - Scission: Molecular Bonds Ruptured - Reduces the Molecular Weight and Strength; Gas Evolution
  - Cross-Linking: Polymer Molecules Linked to Form Large 3D Molecular Networks – Causes Hardening and Embrittlement
  - Enhanced Oxidation

Radiation Effects on Materials – Concepts for Polymers, CONT'D

Effects on Polymers, CONT'D

- **Radiation Effects Difficult to Predict**
  - For Carbon-Carbon Chains (Backbones), Cross-Linking will Occur if H attached to C; Scission will Occur at Tetra-Substituted Carbon
  - Polymers with Aromatic Molecules More Resistant than Aliphatic
    - Polystyrenes
    - Polyimides
- **Loss of Mechanical Properties Important**

Material	Radiation Stability	Comments
Polystyrene	Excellent	
Polyethylene, various densities	Good/Excellent	High-density grades not as stable as medium- or low-density grades.
Polyamides (nylon)	Good	Nylons 10, 11, 12, 6-6 are more stable than 6. Film and fiber are less resistant.
Polyimides	Excellent	
Polysulfone	Excellent	Natural material is yellow.
Polyphenylene sulfide	Excellent	
Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)	Good	Yellows. Antioxidants and stabilizers prevent yellowing. High-molecular-weight organotin stabilizers improve radiation stability; color-corrected radiation formulations are available.
Polyvinyl chloride/Polyvinyl acetate	Good	Less resistant than PVC.
Polyvinylidene dichloride (Saran)	Good	Less resistant than PVC.
Styrene/acylonitrile (SAN)	Good/Excellent	
Polycarbonate	Good/Excellent	Yellows. Mechanical properties not greatly affected; color-corrected radiation formulations are available.
Polypropylene, natural Polypropylene, stabilized	Poor/Fair	Physical properties greatly reduced when irradiated. Radiation-stabilized grades, utilizing high molecular weights and copolymerized and alloyed with polyethylene, should be used in most radiation applications. High-dose-rate E-beam processing may reduce oxidative degradation.
Fluoropolymers: Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) Perfluoro alkoxy (PFA) Polychlorotrifluoroethylene (PCTFE) Polyinyl fluoride (PVF) Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) Ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) Fluoninated ethylene propylene (FEP)	 Poor Poor Good/Excellent Good/Excellent Good/Excellent Good Fair	When irradiated, PTFE and PFA are significantly damaged. The others show better stability. Some are excellent.
Cellulosics: Esters Cellulose acetate propionate Cellulose acetate butyrate Cellulose, paper, cardboard	 Fair Fair Fair/Good Fair/Good	Esters degrade less than cellulose does.
Polyacetals	Poor	Irradiation causes embrittlement. Color changes have been noted (yellow to green).
ABS	Good	High-impact grades are not as radiation resistant as standard-impact grades.
Acrylics (PMMA)	Fair/Good	
Polyurethane	Good/Excellent	Aromatic discolors; polyesters more stable than esters. Retains physical properties.
Liquid crystal polymer (LCP)	Excellent	Commercial LCPs excellent; natural LCPs not stable.
Polyesters	Good/Excellent	PBT not as radiation stable as PET.
Thermosets: Phenolics Epoxies Polyesters	 Excellent Excellent Excellent	 Includes the addition of mineral fillers. All curing systems. Includes the addition of mineral or glass fibers.
Allyl diglycol carbonate (polyester)	Excellent	Maintains excellent optical properties after irradiation.
Polyurethanes: Aliphatic Aromatic	 Excellent Good/Excellent	 Darkening can occur. Possible breakdown products could be derived.
Elastomers: Urethane EPDM Natural rubber Nitrile Polychloroprene (neoprene) Silicone Styrene-butadiene Polyacrylic Chlorosulfonated polyethylene Butyl	 Excellent Excellent Good/Excellent Good/Excellent Good Good Good Poor Poor Poor	 Discolors. Discolors. The addition of aromatic plasticizers renders the material more stable to irradiation. Phenyl-methyl silicones are more stable than are methyl silicones. Platinum cure is superior to peroxide cure; full cure during manufacture can eliminate most postirradiation effects. Friable, sheds particulates.

Table I. General guide to radiation stability of polymer materials.[2]

Ref: K.J. Hemmerich, "RADIATION STERILIZATION, Polymer Materials Selection for Radiation-Sterilized Products," Medical Device & Diagnostic Industry, Feb 2000, p. 78

Radiation Effects on Materials – Concepts for Polymers, CONT'D

- **Dose in air for 25% Elongation Loss in Polymer Materials**





Ref: K.J. Hemmerich, "RADIATION STERILIZATION, Polymer Materials Selection for Radiation-Sterilized Products," Medical Device & Diagnostic Industry, Feb 2000, p. 78

Radiation Effects on Materials – Concepts for Polymers, CONT'D

Dose Rate Sensitivity

- Polymers are Susceptible to Oxidation, which is Diffusion-Limited
- High Dose Rate Exposures May Not be Indicative of Aging in Low Dose Rate Environments
- Materials "Qualified" for 40-year Service Life May Fail Sooner



Dose to 50% elongation loss in PVC cable insulation
(Data shifted by superposition to a reference temperature of 50°C)

Ref: NUREG/CR-2877, SAND81-2613, "Investigation of Cable Deterioration in the Containment Building of the Savannah River Nuclear Reactor", K.T. Gillen, R.L. Clough, L.H. Jones, August 1982.

Radiation Effects on Seal/Gasket/Coating Materials in Separations Service

Empirical Knowledge Base – In Vitro Testing and Service Experience

- **Fluoropolymers – needed for chemical resistance** tes1
  - Teflon –initial damage at 1-5E4 rad, severe damage at 1-10 Mrad
  - Jumper Gaskets: Teflon-asbestos (functional to 100-1000 Mrad)
  - Viton® B – FKM fluoroelastomer, older formulations with lead oxide, not suitable for TBP solutions
  - Kalrez® FFKM perfluoroelastomer – expensive, acids at high temp
  - Halar®/ECTFE – low permeability, possible chloride release
  - Tefzel®/ETFE copolymer – used in HLW transfer lines, ball valves
  - Kynar®/PVDF – most resistant fluoropolymer, less resistant to strong nitric acid or NaOH solutions (stress-cracking).

tes1 y5916, 12/3/2008

Radiation Effects on Materials – Concepts for Metals

Effects on Metals

- Irradiation Effects
  - Radiation Hardening & Embrittlement at Low Irradiation Temperatures ($T_{irr} < 0.3\ T_{m.p.}$)
- Important Factors in General
  - Total Displacement Damage and Damage Rate
  - Irradiation Temperature
  - Spectral Effects
- Degradation Mechanisms
  - "Black Spot" Damage at Low Irradiation Temperatures

Radiation Effects on Materials – Concepts for Metals, CONT'D

Radiation Damage Phenomena: n-Irradiation of Crystalline Materials

Displacements of Atoms from Crystalline Lattice Can Lead to:

- Point Defects Above Thermal Equilibrium
- Extended Crystalline Defects
- Solute Segregation & Phase Transformations (Enhanced & Induced)

Transmutations Due to Capture Can Lead to:

- Chemical Changes
- Phase Transformations
- Helium Build-in

Radiation Effects on Materials – Concepts for Metals, CONT'D

Radiation Damage Phenomena: n-Irradiation of Crystalline Materials

Primary Knock-On Atoms

- Neutron transfers Energy to Lattice atom
- One Neutron Can create Many PKAs

Cascades from PKAs

- Create Free Defects
- Recombination
- Dislocation Loops
- Stacking Fault Tetrahedra



Radiation Effects on Materials – Concepts for Metals, CONT'D

Displacements per Atom Formulation

$\nu(T) = 0$ displacements for $T<E_d$

$\nu(T) = 1$ for $E_d<T<2E_d$

$\nu(T) = 0.8T/(2E_d)$ for $T>2E_d$

E_d = threshold energy to cause a displacement from a crystalline position

$$K\left(\frac{dpa}{sec}\right) = N\int_0^{E_{max}} \Phi(E)dE \int_{E_d}^{\Lambda E} \nu(T)\frac{d\sigma(E,T)}{dT}dT$$

Displacement Rate for Elastic Collision Events

Radiation Effects on Metals in Separations Systems

Displacements from:

- Alpha/Beta – Near Surface
- Spontaneous Neutrons – Very Low Dose
- Gamma – Very Low Dose



Radiation Effects on Metals in Separations Systems, CONT'D

No Significant Impact to Mechanical Properties Expected for Separations Tanks

Defect Cluster Density in Neutron-Irradiated Stainless Steel Coarsens Slightly Between 0.06 and 0.5 dpa,Tirr=120°C





Ref: S.J. Zinkle and R.L. Sindelar, "Defect Microstructures in Neutron-Irradiated Copper and Stainless Steel," J. Nucl. Mat. 155-157 (1988) p. 1196

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Following SRNL Staff

- **Ned Bibler – Radiolysis Topics**
- **Dennis Vinson – Shielding Topics**
- **Eric Skidmore – Polymers in Radiation Service**
- **Key References**

  - J.R. Lamarsh, Introduction to Nuclear Engineering, Addison-Wesley, 1975
  - Chapter 7, “RADIOLYTIC BEHAVIOR,” in Science and Technology of Tributyl Phosphate, Volume I, Wallace W. Schulz and James D. Navratil, eds., CRC Press, Inc., 1984
  - M.H. Lloyd and R.L. Fellows, “Alpha Radiolysis and Other Factors Affecting Hydrolysis of Tributyl Phosphate,” ORNL/TM-9565, June 1985
  - N.E. Bibler, “Radiolytic Instability of Ferrous Sulfamate in Nuclear Process Solutions,” Nuclear Technology, Volume 34, August 1977
  - K.K.S. Pillay, “A Review of the Radiation Stability of Ion Exchange Materials,” Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemisry, Articles, Vol. 102, No. 1 (1986) 247-268.
  - K.J. Hemmerich, “RADIATION STERILIZATION, Polymer Materials Selection for Radiation-Sterilized Products,” Medical Device & Diagnostic Industry, Feb 2000, p. 78
  - "Effects of Radiation on Polymers & Elastomers," NASA/Jet Propulsion Laboratories, 1988
  - S.J. Zinkle, “Microstructure Evolution in Irradiated Metals and Alloys: Fundamental Aspects," NATO Advanced Study Institute, Course on Radiation Effects in Solids, Erice, Sicily, Italy, July 17-29, 2004