

Quantitative Methods for Decision-Making Under Uncertainty

Sankaran Mahadevan Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

Email:sankaran.mahadevan@vanderbilt.eduWebsite:www.reliability-studies.vanderbilt.edu

Vanderbilt University

Reliability and Risk Engineering, Analysis, and Management NSF-IGERT Graduate Program at Vanderbilt

Educational and Research Themes

- Multidisciplinary integration
- Large, complex systems
- Modeling and simulation
- Economic, legal, regulatory, and social perspectives

Cross-cutting methodologies

- Uncertainty quantification, propagation
- Risk quantification
- Decision-making under uncertainty

Participants

- 42 graduate students (34 Ph.D., 8 M.S.)
- 30 professors → Engineering, Math, Economics, Business, Psychology, Medicine
- Summer researchers (undergraduates, high school teachers)

Vanderbilt University



Large

Systems

Devices.

Components



Reliability and Risk Engineering, Analysis, and Management Industry & Government Support



INDUSTRY

- Boeing
- Kellogg, Brown & Root
- Fedex
- General Motors
- Chrysler
- General Electric
- Pratt and Whitney
- Bell Helicopter
- Medtronic
- Union Pacific
- Xerox

Laboratories

- Southwest Research Institute
- Transportation Technology Center

Vanderbilt University

GOVERNMENT

- U. S. DOD (AFRL, Army, Navy, AFOSR)
- U. S. DOE (EM)
- U. S. DOT (FHWA)
- NASA (LaRC, MSFC, GRC, ARC, JPL)
- DOE Labs (SNL, LANL, INL, SRNL)
- Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- Federal Aviation Administration

Nature of support

Summer internships Collaborative research projects Advisory committee membership Seminar speakers Student recruitment

Risk Analysis Issues



- System modeling
 - Physics-based behavior models \rightarrow finite elements, bond graphs
 - Surrogate models (GP, PC, RBF, NN)
 - Fault trees, event trees, petri-nets
 - Bayes networks
- Risk analysis
 - Multi-level -- Material \rightarrow component \rightarrow subsystem \rightarrow system
 - Risk variation over space and time
 - Multi-physics, multi-scale problems
- Data Uncertainty
 - Sparse data, interval data, measurement uncertainty
 - Expert opinion
 - Heterogeneous information
- Model Uncertainty
 - Model form, model parameters
 - Errors → some deterministic, some stochastic

Vanderbilt University



- Materials durability, fatigue, fracture
- Systems health diagnosis and prognosis
- Decision-making under uncertainty
- Model uncertainty, calibration, validation



Rotorcraft Damage Tolerance (FAA)



Rotorcraft mast

- Two-diameter hollow cylinder
- Elliptical surface crack in fillet region
- Sub modeling technique → Accuracy in stress intensity factor



- Model calibration
 - Calibrate EIFS, model parameters
 - Estimate model errors in different stages of modeling
- Model validation
- Prediction uncertainty quantification
- Global sensitivity analysis
- Load monitoring and updating



Sources of Uncertainty



- Physical variability
 - Loading
 - Material Properties
- Data uncertainty
 - Sparseness of data used to quantify material properties
 - Output measurement uncertainty (final crack size, detection probability)
- Model uncertainty/errors
 - Analysis assumptions \rightarrow LEFM, planar crack
 - Finite element discretization errors
 - Combination of multiple crack modes
 - Approximation due to surrogate model
 - Crack growth law \rightarrow model form
 - Model parameters → crack growth model initial flaw size

Dynamic Bayes Network





Vanderbilt University

Model Validation Metrics

Model response Observed values

Null Hypothesis	$H_0: \boldsymbol{y} \in f(\boldsymbol{x})$
Alternative Hypothesis:	$H_1: \boldsymbol{y} \notin f(\boldsymbol{x})$

Classical hypothesis testing

$$H_0: E(\mathbf{y}) = E(\mathbf{x})$$
 $Var(\mathbf{y}) = Var(\mathbf{x})$

X

y

 $H_1: E(\mathbf{y}) \neq E(\mathbf{x}) \qquad Var(\mathbf{y}) \neq Var(\mathbf{x})$

t test chi-square test

Bayesian hypothesis testing



Crack size prediction UQ





Vanderbilt University

Sensitivity Analysis



- Local → Only one uncertainty is considered and all others are 'frozen' at the mean values
- Global → Analyze sensitivity of output over the entire domain of inputs rather than at mean values
- First order effects (S) & Total effects (S_T)



Cementitious barrier PA





Extrapolation from Validation to Application





Extrapolation scenarios

- Nominal values to Extreme values
- Test conditions to Use conditions
- Validation variables to Decision variables
- Components to System

Vanderbilt University

Use for

- Calibration
- Validation
- Extrapolation

MCMC techniquesGibbs sampling

UQ in system-level prediction





Bayes Network Implementation Y_1^{f} Y_1^j **Joints** Foam X_1^j X_1^{i} \mathcal{E}_1^{\dagger} $\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathrm{J}}$ $\mathcal{E}_2^{\mathrm{J}}$ $\mathcal{E}_{2}^{^{\mathrm{I}}}$ $heta^{ ext{f}}$ $heta^{ ext{J}}$ \overline{X}_{\cdot}^{j} $\widetilde{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{f}}$ Y_2^j Y^{f} Y = Experimental data J = JointsX = FEM prediction F = Foam1 - Level 1 2 - Level 2 θ = Calibration parameters S - System $\varepsilon = \text{Error terms}$ Vanderbilt University reliability-studies.vanderbilt.edu

Likelihood Approach to Data Uncertainty



• Likelihood function

$$L(P) \propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} f_X(x \mid P) dx\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} f_X(x_i \mid P)\right)$$

interval data

P – distribution parameters m – point data size n – interval data size

- Maximum Likelihood Estimate \rightarrow Maximize L(P)
- To account for uncertainty in $P \rightarrow f(P) = \frac{L(P)}{\int L(P)}$
- Two approaches
 - Family of distributions for X (for every sample of P → probability distribution for X)

sparse point data

Single distribution of X

$$f(x) = \int f(x \mid P) f(P) dP$$

• Can use non-parametric distributions



Risk Management: System Health Monitoring

- System integration
 - Integrate reliability/risk methods with SHM
 - Integrate diagnosis with prognosis
- Rapid diagnosis and prognosis
 - Derive damage signatures
 - Qualitative isolation, then damage quantification



- Uncertainty Quantification
 - Quantify variability, uncertainty, errors
 - Estimate Confidence in diagnosis/prognosis

Decision-Making Under Uncertainty





- Various stages in life cycle \rightarrow design, operations, maintenance
 - Multiple objectives, MCDA, decision trees, utility-based formulations
 - Multi-disciplinary systems
 - Optimization for reliability and robustness
 - Include both aleatory and epistemic uncertainties
- Dynamic, network systems
 - Critical facility protection design of safeguards/detectors
 - Transportation networks, supply networks, emergency response systems
- System of systems
 - Multiple system linkages
 - Homeland security, military, commercial applications

Vanderbilt University

Fire Satellite System







Pandemic Influenza Risk Management



CIPDSS (LANL)



Vanderbilt University

Conclusion



Continuing opportunities for methods development

- System risk assessment
 - Risk variation with time and space
 - Dynamic, multi-physics, systems of systems
 - Computational effort
- Decision-making under uncertainty
 - Design, operations, maintenance, risk management
 - Data collection, Model development
 - Embedding flexibility
- Include data uncertainty
 - Sparse, noisy, qualitative, missing data, intervals, expert opinion
 - Multi-scale fusion of heterogeneous information
- Include model uncertainty
 - Validation, calibration, error estimation, extrapolation