

# Nuclear Power Industry Experience with Risk-Informed Regulation

Workshop on Risk Assessment and  
Safety Decision Making Under  
Uncertainty



NUCLEAR  
ENERGY  
INSTITUTE

September 22, 2010

# Nuclear Energy Institute

- **NEI is the Washington, DC based organization of the US nuclear power industry**
  - **Addresses policy and regulatory matters**
  - **Provides generic regulatory interface with NRC**
  - **All US nuclear operating companies are members, along with universities, suppliers, consultants, others**

# Risk-Informed Performance Based Regulation

- Industry is a strong supporter of risk-informed regulatory decision making
- Risk-informed regulatory methods lead to enhanced safety and economics of operation
- Provides for more objective safety focus
- NEI supports PRA development and consensus standards
- Our focus is on using the PRA in applications

## Background

- **10 CFR Part 50 addresses reactor safety and is largely deterministic**
- **US Industry has over 3000 reactor years of operating experience**
  - **Comprehensive data on equipment performance, initiating events**
  - **Robust infrastructure and significant experience with PRA**
- **Many applications have been developed**

# NRC Regulatory Framework

- **Safety goal policy statement (1987)**
  - How safe is safe enough?
  - Qualitative and quantitative health objectives
  - Subsidiary objectives for early and latent health effects
  - Supports backfit rule (10 CFR 50.109)
- **PRA policy statement (1995)**
  - Use PRA in regulatory matters
  - Realism in analysis as supported by state of the art
  - Use PRA to reduce unnecessary burden as well as to identify new requirements

# Initial Industry PRA Development

- **Individual plant examination (1988)**
  - All plants developed internal events at power PRAs to identify vulnerabilities
  - Many plant improvements implemented
- **Individual plant examination for external events**
  - Seismic, floods, high winds, fire
  - PRA and other techniques used

# NRC Regulatory Guide 1.174

- **Regulatory Guide 1.174 (published 1998) sought to implement PRA policy**
- **Use core damage frequency and large early release frequency for regulatory decision making**
- **Small calculated increases in risk were acceptable, in light of:**
  - Delta risk and overall plant risk
  - Meeting current regulations
  - Defense in depth
  - Safety margins
  - Performance monitoring

# PRA Technical Adequacy

- **Addressed through consensus standards and peer review**
- **Standards endorsed through NRC Regulatory Guide 1.200**
- **Obviates need for direct NRC review of base PRA model**
- **Uncertainties primarily addressed on an application specific basis**



# Treatment of Uncertainties

- **Other elements of the “risk-informed” process are in part included to address uncertainties**
- **Margins to safety goals**
- **Sensitivity studies**
- **In some cases, concern with uncertainties can lead to attempts to bound outcomes directly in the PRA, versus striving for realism**
- **Fire and seismic initiators modeling uncertainties are more challenging with respect to internal events**

# Maintenance Rule

- **10 CFR 50.65 implemented in 1995**
  - **First and important risk-informed performance based rulemaking**
  - **Provided for monitoring of equipment performance and for risk assessment and management of maintenance activities**
  - **Scope not limited to safety related equipment**
  - **Used PRA to determine more risk significant SSCs, and for balancing reliability and availability**

# NRC Regulatory Reform Efforts

- **NRC embarked on fundamental regulatory reform effort in late 1990s**
- **Objective was to provide more risk-informed performance based approach for 10 CFR Part 50**
- **Sequence of rulemakings:**
  - **Hydrogen control rule, (removal of recombiners)**
  - **Rulemaking to enable risk-informed scope of graded quality assurance and other similar regulatory requirements**
  - **Rulemaking on Emergency Core Cooling realistic break size**

# 10 CFR 50.69, Risk-Informed Scope of “Special Treatment” Requirements

- Provides voluntary risk-informed alternative scope of applicability for 11 “special treatment” regulations
  - Equipment qualification, seismic qualification, quality assurance, maintenance rule, reporting requirements, others
- Final Rule issued and Regulatory Guide on risk classification approved by NRC
- Significant potential benefit
- Still awaiting implementation

# **Proposed 10 CFR 50.46a Alternative Break Size for Emergency Core Cooling Requirements**

- **Seeks to provide alternative requirements for very large (infrequent) design basis pipe breaks**
- **Expert elicitation used to develop pipe break frequencies leading to “transition break size” (TBS)**
- **Mitigation for above TBS breaks is required, but no assumptions of concurrent single failure and loss of offsite power**
- **Rule has been modified (additional limitations) due to ACRS and NRC staff concerns**
- **Awaiting final rule at end of 2010**

# 10 CFR 50.48c, Risk Informed Fire Protection

- Provides risk informed alternative to existing deterministic fire protection regulations
- Allows consideration of ignition sources, targets, fire modeling, PRA risk metrics
- Challenging due to complexity, schedules, and technical issues with methods
- Pilot approved, and over half of plants are implementing
- Has led to extensive development of fire PRA

# Technical Specifications (Equipment Configuration Control)

- **Widely implemented**
  - Equipment out of service time extensions (e.g. diesel generator allowed outage time)
  - Missed surveillance and mode restraints initiatives
  - Equipment surveillance test interval removal to licensee control
- **Implementation underway**
  - Flexible out of service times using PRA to calculate acceptable duration of out of service condition

# NRC Reactor Oversight Process

- **Uses risk to inform reactor safety cornerstone (inspection finding significance determination, performance indicators)**
- **Voluntary in concept (no underlying regulation) but used by all licensees**
- **Has become increasingly complex, but provides improved safety focus over previous subjective process**
- **“Number focused” and large consumer of plant and NRC PRA resources**



## Other Applications

- Risk informed weld inspection
- Risk informed pump and valve testing
- License Renewal (level 3 PRA to address cost beneficial improvements)
- Containment integrated leak rate testing interval

# New Plant Designs

- **Plants being licensed under Part 52 have benefited from PRA insights at the design stage**
  - **Very low internal events CDF**
  - **External initiators (seismic, flooding) likely to dominate risk**
  - **These plants are required to perform and maintain a PRA meeting scope of Reg Guide 1.200**

# New Plant Designs

- **New plant license applicants are generally not pursuing risk-informed initiatives as part of initial licensing**
- **NRC addressing policy aspects of low risk plants**
  - **Concern with potential for erosion of safety margins**
  - **Reactor oversight process**
  - **Licensing decisions**

# The Challenge

- **Risk-informed regulation presents a cultural challenge for industry and the regulator**
  - Both have much prior experience with deterministic bounding approaches versus realistic analyses
  - PRA is often viewed as a black box that is not readily understood except by PRA experts
  - Technical adequacy of PRA is necessary but not always sufficient to enable success
  - Education on risk concepts important

## Lessons Learned

- **NRC support for regulatory reform is dependent on:**
  - Industry performance
  - Chairman, Commission and senior NRC management support
- **Industry support dependent on:**
  - Value proposition
  - Infrastructure investment
  - Demonstrated successes of applications

## Lessons Learned

- Risk applications can become “number focused” and moved away from integrated decision process
- PRA requires time to evolve to reasonably realistic methods
  - Internal events has benefitted from over 20 years of methods development
  - Fire, seismic, shutdown are greater challenges

# Summary

- Risk applications have been successfully developed and implemented
- Safety focus and burden reduction are achievable
- Progress is evolutionary, but over time significant regulatory improvement can be realized
- Work in progress